Multidisciplinary approach for reconstruction of cranial defect with polymethyl methacrylate resin reinforced with titanium mesh
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INTRODUCTION
Cranial vault defects can arise as a result of congenital deformities, trauma, decompressive craniectomies, and bone flap loss due to infection.¹⁻³ In addition to compromising the patient’s esthetics, these defects also expose a significant area of the brain.⁴⁻⁵ Reconstruction of the large cranial vault defects thus continues to be a challenge to craniofacial surgeons and neurosurgeons. Various types of materials are now available for reconstruction of cranial defects so as to construct the rigid framework of the skull. However, the selection of implant for reconstruction of defects still remains controversial.⁵ In this article, we present a case report where an interdisciplinary approach was used for successful reconstruction of the large frontal bone defect using a combination of heat cured polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) resin and titanium mesh.

CASE REPORT
A 38-year-old patient reported to our department with a chief complaint of depression and defect in right side frontal region. He gave a history of road traffic accident 18 months back. The patient also gave a history of being operated earlier for cranial defects occur most commonly as a sequelae to trauma, the incidence being as high as 70%. The successful management of a case of trauma in an emergency situation requires quick evacuation of the hematoma, repair of the dura, and the scalp but not necessarily the integrity of the calvarial segment as an immediate measure. So the reconstruction of the calvarial defect in these cases is mostly carried out as a secondary procedure. Various materials are used for reconstruction of cranial defects, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) resin being one of them. In this article, we report a case which was successfully treated by PMMA resin in combination with a titanium mesh for reconstruction of the cranial defect as a secondary procedure.
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removal of crushed bone pieces. The patient was evaluated both clinically and radiographically. The radiological investigations included X-ray of the skull (anteroposterior view) and lateral view supplemented with computed tomography scan for defining the site and size of the defect [Figure 1]. All the necessary preoperative investigations were carried out. In spite of today's era being one of computer aided biomannufacturing, a computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing prototype was deemed unfeasible as the patient desired an economically viable and cost-effective solution. We thus decided to fabricate the PMMA resin prosthesis reinforced with titanium mesh (1.5 mm mesh, SK Surgicals, Pune) with the help of a prostodontist. A patch test was carried out in the patient planned for reconstruction with polymethacrylate resin plate to rule out any hypersensitivity reaction. The defect was marked on the scalp [Figure 2a] and an impression of the defect was made [Figure 2b]. A cast was made with the help of dental stone. This was followed by making a wax-up of the defect and reinforcing it with a titanium mesh [Figure 2c]. Fabrication of acrylic cranial plate prosthesis the processing was carried out in a water bath at 168°F for 12 h and boiled for 30 min to ensure a complete cure and maximum reduction of free monomer [Figure 2d]. The prosthesis was then sterilized by soaking for 48 h in 2% glutaraldehyde solution. The patient's head was clean shaven prior to surgery. The frontal defect was exposed through the existing scar [Figure 3a]. Hemostasis was achieved. The PMMA resin implant reinforced with titanium mesh was then fixed to the defect with 2 mm titanium mini plates [Figure 3b and c]. The wound was closed in layers [Figure 3d]. The patient was followed up for a period of 6 months during which no complications were seen.

DISCUSSION

Reconstruction of skull defects is technically challenging, but can be achieved with the use of biological tissue, such as the split calvarial bone graft, bone harvested from the iliac crest/posterior wall of the sinus, or with artificial materials such as the three-dimensional titanium mesh. [6-8] The advantages of reconstruction with autologous bone include a lower incidence of graft loss than occurs with alloplastic material. Also, exposure and infection of the autologous bone can sometimes be managed without complete graft loss, whereas, when alloplastic materials become exposed or infected, often the only choice is removal of the foreign material [9,10] (exceptions are porous polyethylene sheet [Medpor] allografts, as their infection can be managed by intravenous antibiotics). Although, calvarial bone grafts are used today with great success for reconstructions of skull defects there are certain limitations for their use such as the limited size of graft availability and damage to the inner table or dura during harvest. Correction

Figure 1: Preoperative computed tomography scan showing the defect

Figure 2: Prosthetic work-up of the patient (a) Marking of defect on scalp, (b) impression of defect being taken by alginate, (c) wax-up of the defect and reinforcing it with titanium mesh, and (d) fabrication of acrylic prosthesis for cranial defect

Figure 3: Intraoperative procedure (a) Exposure of the cranial defect through existing scar, (b and c) fixation of the cranial prosthesis to the defect with titanium miniplates and screws, and (d) wound closure in layers
of large calvarial defects with autografts may also be quite time consuming. The ideal substitute for undertaking cranioplasty must be biocompatible, strong, and lightweight; it must be malleable, to precisely fit even complicated cranial defects, nonmagnetic, chemically inert; radiolucent; nonferromagnetic; readily available; inexpensive and easily secured, and must have long-term stability. Alloplastic implants have the advantage of being readily available, easy to handle and shape and undergo minimal resorption; however, alloplastic implants are permanent foreign bodies that are susceptible to infection and exposure over time. In our case, we used a customized PMMA implant which was impregnated with titanium mesh for reconstruction of the cranial defect. A customized PMMA implant is preferred as there is no heat on polymerization and no excess liquid monomer which might irritate and damage the underlying structures. Despite the increase in the number of biomaterials available for replacing areas of bone absence, the high cost continues to be a factor to consider. As a result, today, PMMA is still the cheapest and easiest material to obtain in addition to being easy to use. It is the most commonly used alloplastic material for cosmetic and reconstructive surgeries as it is a poor conductor of heat and is highly resistant to compressive and torsional forces. PMMA is also radiolucent and noncarcinogenic. In recent literature, Goyal and Goyal demonstrated the posttraumatic restoration of the large cranial defect with alloplastic heat-cure PMMA resin material in the Indian subcontinent albeit without the use of titanium mesh. Disadvantages of PMMA include: • Higher rate of infection than autogenous bone • Low composite tensile displacement profile • Smooth surface characteristics that prevent tissue ingrowth • Contraindicated in children as they do not accompany the growth of cranial skeleton.

The PMMA resin prosthesis imparted a suitable contour and was reinforced with a titanium mesh to impart rigidity and stability. Titanium mesh was used in conjunction with PMMA because we believe the PMMA prosthesis restores the contour of the frontal bone more esthetically and appropriately as opposed to titanium mesh alone. A titanium cranioplasty alone would not have given adequate bulk and contour to the underlying structures. Appropriate case selection and the risk to benefit ratio should be taken into consideration before selecting any material for complex cranial reconstruction. This was a joint effort involving coordination between the Departments of Maxillo-Facial Surgery, Anesthesiology, Neurosurgery, and Maxillofacial Prosthodontics. The surgery was planned and executed by the Neurosurgeon and Maxillofacial Surgeon under hypotensive anesthesia while the prosthodontist was instrumental in the successful fabrication of a well contoured prosthesis. This coordination is a must for tackling such cases.
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